| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |

## California College of the Arts (San Francisco)

| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 8 | 7 | $88 \%$ | 1 | $13 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 9 | 6 | $67 \%$ | 3 | $33 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 8 | 6 | $75 \%$ | 2 | $25 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 3 | 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 6 | 3 | $50 \%$ | 3 | $50 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 7 | 4 | $57 \%$ | 3 | $43 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 8 | 2 | $25 \%$ | 6 | $75 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 7 | 5 | $71 \%$ | 2 | $29 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 9 | 3 | $33 \%$ | 6 | $67 \%$ |

California Polytechnic State University (San Luis Obispo)

| Version / Division | Number of Candidates | Number Passing | Percent Passing | Number Failing | Percent Failing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 Building Planning | 86 | 46 | 53\% | 40 | 47\% |
| 3.1 Building Technology | 85 | 53 | 62\% | 32 | 38\% |
| 3.1 Construction Documents | 102 | 65 | 64\% | 37 | 36\% |
| 3.1 General Structures | 76 | 54 | 71\% | 22 | 29\% |
| 3.1 Lateral Forces | 64 | 55 | 86\% | 9 | 14\% |
| 3.1 Mechanical and Electrical | 109 | 74 | 68\% | 35 | 32\% |
| 3.1 Building Design/Materials and Methods | 76 | 51 | 67\% | 25 | 33\% |
| 3.1 Pre-Design | 85 | 57 | 67\% | 28 | 33\% |
| 3.1 Site Planning | 77 | 45 | 58\% | 32 | 42\% |

California State Polytechnic University (Pomona)

| Version I Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 35 | 17 | $49 \%$ | 18 | $51 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 36 | 21 | $58 \%$ | 15 | $42 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 45 | 27 | $60 \%$ | 18 | $40 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 34 | 18 | $53 \%$ | 16 |  |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 27 | 19 | $70 \%$ | 8 | $47 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 50 | 25 | $50 \%$ | 25 | $50 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 54 | 33 | $61 \%$ | 21 | $39 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 45 | 30 | $67 \%$ | 15 | $33 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 30 | 21 | $70 \%$ | 9 | $30 \%$ |
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NewSchool of Architecture and Design (San Diego)

| Version / Division | Number of Candidates | Number Passing | Percent Passing | Number Failing | Percent Failing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 Building Planning | 7 | 1 | 14\% | 6 | 86\% |
| 3.1 Building Technology | 7 | 4 | 57\% | 3 | 43\% |
| 3.1 Construction Documents | 13 | 9 | 69\% | 4 | 31\% |
| 3.1 General Structures | 9 | 4 | 44\% | 5 | 56\% |
| 3.1 Lateral Forces | 9 | 7 | 78\% | 2 | 22\% |
| 3.1 Mechanical and Electrical | 7 | 6 | 86\% | 1 | 14\% |
| 3.1 Building Design/Materials and Methods | 11 | 7 | 64\% | 4 | 36\% |
| 3.1 Pre-Design | 17 | 11 | 65\% | 6 | 35\% |
| 3.1 Site Planning | 9 | 4 | 44\% | 5 | 56\% |

## Southern California Institute of Architecture (Los Angeles)

| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 31 | 13 | $42 \%$ | 18 | $58 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 35 | 22 | $63 \%$ | 13 | $37 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 66 | 40 | $61 \%$ | 26 | $39 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 54 | 37 | $69 \%$ | 17 | $31 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 50 | 35 | $70 \%$ | 15 | $30 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 65 | 45 | $69 \%$ | 20 | $31 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 55 | 38 | $69 \%$ | 17 | $31 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 61 | 39 | $64 \%$ | 22 | $36 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 39 | 23 | $59 \%$ | 16 | $41 \%$ |


| California Architects Board 01/01/2007-12/31/2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University of California, Berkeley (Berkeley) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Version / Division | Number of Candidates | Number Passing | Percent Passing | Number Failing | Percent Failing |
| 3.1 Building Planning | 23 | 15 | 65\% | 8 | 35\% |
| 3.1 Building Technology | 25 | 19 | 76\% | 6 | 24\% |
| 3.1 Construction Documents | 34 | 33 | 97\% | 1 | 3\% |
| 3.1 General Structures | 32 | 32 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 3.1 Lateral Forces | 27 | 25 | 93\% | 2 | 7\% |
| 3.1 Mechanical and Electrical | 21 | 19 | 90\% | 2 | 10\% |
| 3.1 Building Design/Materials and Methods | 30 | 29 | 97\% | 1 | 3\% |
| 3.1 Pre-Design | 30 | 29 | 97\% | 1 | 3\% |
| 3.1 Site Planning | 19 | 14 | 74\% | 5 | 26\% |

University of California, Los Angeles (Los Angeles)

| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 26 | 16 | $62 \%$ | 10 | $38 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 29 | 21 | $72 \%$ | 8 | $28 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 35 | 29 | $83 \%$ | 6 | $17 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 22 | 19 | $86 \%$ | 3 | $14 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 22 | 20 | $91 \%$ | 2 | $9 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 31 | 20 | $65 \%$ | 11 | $35 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 24 | 19 | $79 \%$ | 5 | $21 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 29 | 25 | $86 \%$ | 4 | $14 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 27 | 18 | $67 \%$ | 9 | $33 \%$ |


| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 43 | 25 | $58 \%$ | 18 | $42 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 51 | 37 | $73 \%$ | 14 | $27 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 64 | 38 | $59 \%$ | 26 | $41 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 52 | 38 | $73 \%$ | 14 | $27 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 46 | 36 | $78 \%$ | 10 | $22 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 59 | 35 | $59 \%$ | 24 | $41 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 55 | 32 | $58 \%$ | 23 | $42 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 57 | 40 | $70 \%$ | 17 | $30 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 46 | 34 | $74 \%$ | 12 | $26 \%$ |


| Version / Division | Number of Candidates | Number Passing | Percent Passing | Number Failing | Percent Failing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 Building Planning | 12 | 7 | 58\% | 5 | 42\% |
| 3.1 Building Technology | 13 | 8 | 62\% | 5 | 38\% |
| 3.1 Construction Documents | 29 | 11 | 38\% | 18 | 62\% |
| 3.1 General Structures | 22 | 10 | 45\% | 12 | 55\% |
| 3.1 Lateral Forces | 17 | 8 | 47\% | 9 | 53\% |
| 3.1 Mechanical and Electrical | 26 | 15 | 58\% | 11 | 42\% |
| 3.1 Building Design/Materials and Methods | 30 | 11 | 37\% | 19 | 63\% |
| 3.1 Pre-Design | 25 | 13 | 52\% | 12 | 48\% |
| 3.1 Site Planning | 19 | 10 | 53\% | 9 | 47\% |

## California Architects Board 01/01/2007-12/31/2007

## U.S. Accredited Schools

| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 290 | 182 | $63 \%$ | 108 | $37 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 308 | 206 | $67 \%$ | 102 | $33 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 359 | 280 | $78 \%$ | 79 | $22 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 282 | 207 | $73 \%$ | 75 | $27 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 252 | 215 | $85 \%$ | 37 | $15 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 355 | 254 | $72 \%$ | 101 | $28 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 316 | 256 | $81 \%$ | 60 | $19 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 323 | 267 | $83 \%$ | 56 | $17 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 312 | 195 | $63 \%$ | 117 | $38 \%$ |


| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 71 | 38 | $54 \%$ | 33 | $46 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 76 | 41 | $54 \%$ | 35 | $46 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 106 | 68 | $64 \%$ | 38 | $36 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 80 | 58 | $73 \%$ | 22 | $28 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 61 | 46 | $75 \%$ | 15 | $25 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 121 | 70 | $58 \%$ | 51 | $42 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 107 | 68 | $64 \%$ | 39 | $36 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 106 | 73 | $69 \%$ | 33 | $31 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 81 | 51 | $63 \%$ | 30 | $37 \%$ |

## Non-Accredited U.S. Schools

| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 1 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |

Foreign Schools

| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 80 | 54 | $68 \%$ | 26 | $33 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 83 | 65 | $78 \%$ | 18 | $22 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 117 | 74 | $63 \%$ | 43 | $37 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 92 | 65 | $71 \%$ | 27 | $29 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 81 | 59 | $73 \%$ | 22 | $27 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 124 | 64 | $52 \%$ | 60 | $48 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 107 | 59 | $55 \%$ | 48 | $45 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 120 | 60 | $50 \%$ | 60 | $50 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 84 | 56 | $67 \%$ | 28 | $33 \%$ |

No School

| Version / Division | Number of <br> Candidates | Number <br> Passing | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> Failing | Percent <br> Failing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Building Planning | 82 | 44 | $54 \%$ | 38 | $46 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Technology | 86 | 40 | $47 \%$ | 46 | $53 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Construction Documents | 113 | 56 | $50 \%$ | 57 | $50 \%$ |
| 3.1 | General Structures | 82 | 42 | $51 \%$ | 40 | $49 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Lateral Forces | 73 | 44 | $60 \%$ | 29 | $40 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Mechanical and Electrical | 121 | 66 | $55 \%$ | 55 | $45 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Building Design/Materials and Methods | 122 | 71 | $58 \%$ | 51 | $42 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Pre-Design | 125 | 76 | $61 \%$ | 49 | $39 \%$ |
| 3.1 | Site Planning | 82 | 38 | $46 \%$ | 44 | $54 \%$ |

